

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,130	09/10/2003	Bruce B. Doris	FIS920030283US1	2129	
32074	7590 09/10/2004	09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			TRAN, MAI HUONG C		
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-48	R2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2070 ROUTE 52 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			2818		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(A)
V

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/605,130	DORIS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mai-Huong Tran	2818			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 September 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or example and the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request that any objection to the example and the specificant may not request the	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 15-20, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, and subclass 187.
- Group II. Claims 1-14, drawn to process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, and subclass 48.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2818

MH

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, 4. the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (571) 272-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Mallumbro